

Torbjørn Sletten February 2012



AGENDA





DEREGULATION IN NORWAY, WHY?

- --> In Norway we had 500 power companies in 1990, many of which had both power production and transmission/distribution (with local monopoly)
- -> There were overinvestment in production capacity
- --> Investments with low ratability were realized before better projects
- -> Main reason for all three above mentioned facts were that the power companies could cover all their costs by increasing power prices to consumers (there were no efficiency requirement)
- -> The goal with deregulation was more efficient use of invested money and a more equal price level all over the country
- --> The hope was a significant reduction of number of power companies



DEREGULATION IN NORWAY, HOW?

- -> Transmission was defined as natural monopoly. Transmission and production should be separated in different companies.
- -> The first step from the Government was to divide 'Old Statkraft' into Production company Statkraft and Transmission company Statnett
- -> Statnett was given the system operator responsibility (TSO)
- --> Nord Pool was established as the market place
 - From the beginning as part of Statnett, later as an independent company
- -> Connection fee to grid for production and consumption substituted the old system where you paid for the distance between production and consumption
- -> The 'local companies' lost their local monopoly, consumers could buy power from anybody, (and not necessarily from a producer)



4 Energy Management in Statkraft --> Transmission and distribution companies have income caps (normal return of investments and operating costs, combined with efficiency requirements)

DEREGULATION, STEPS

- --> 1991 New Energy Act in Norway
- -> 1992 Statkraft and Statnett established, connection fee introduced
- --> 1995 Sweden deregulated after same principles
- -> 1996 Swedish TSO Svenska Krafnät acquire shares in Nord Pool, common Swedish/Norwegian market established (for day ahead, meaning among other things that export/import was a result of market bids)
- --> 1999 2001 Finland and Denmark joined
- -> 2002 and onwards: Further harmonization of Balancing Market, Intra Day Market and Ancillary Services



STATKRAFT IN THE DEREGULATION PROCESS

- --> Splitting of the 'old' Statkraft into:
 - One System Operator and Owner of main grid, Statnett
 - One pure producer, Statkraft
- -> Statkraft are organized and act as a limited company (although owned by the State)
- --> Professional Board of Directors, (not politicians)
- --> Maximize revenues (within laws and regulations)
 - And with strong focus on ethical operations



IMPORTANT PROVISIONS

- --> The grid is the market place
 - Connection fee for producers and consumers (in NOK/MW)
- -> An independent System operator
- -> We need a Regulator to avoid abuse of market power
- --> The players in the market must be treated equal (independent of size, ownership, localization..)
- --> The market has trust and liquidity
 - Market relevant information should be open to all (maintenance plans for generators and transmission, aggregated up to date reservoir fillings, transmission capacity+++)



--> The day ahead spot market balances the system (production equals consumption hour by hour)

7 Energy Management in Statkraft

EXPERIENCES FROM DEREGULATION

- The electric power industry did not want deregulation, it was driven by the authorities (politicians)
- The model to choose was under discussion, especially who should have System Operation responsibility
 - Nowadays everybody agrees the TSO model is the best
- Nord Pool as the market place has been a success
- Statkraft is happy as producer
- Prices for power has equaled for different parts of the country and in all Nordic countries (but still transmission costs, taxes and other fees differs substantially)
- The industry has lowered the costs significantly (both operation costs and investment costs)
- The number of companies are still too high, especially in distribution



IMPORTANCE OF WELL FUNCTIONING POWER MARKET

- First point is that prices reflects costs
 - For production this means that cheap units are scheduled before more costly units
 - For consumption it means that consumers with flexibility gets price signals for when to reduce or shift consumption
 - For society this leads to effective use of recourses and gives investment signals for new production, consumption and flexibility
- High liquidity is important
- For investments, both in new production capacity and new consumption, a trustworthy price for the future is a must
- There should be stability and predictability in future framework (taxes, concessions, legal framework, political climate, +++)



EXPERIENCES FROM DEREGULATION

- The electric power industry did not want deregulation, it was driven by the authorities (politicians)
- The model to choose was under discussion, especially who should have System Operation responsibility
 - Nowadays everybody agrees the TSO model is the best
- Nord Pool as the market place has been a success
- Statkraft is happy as producer
- Prices for power has equaled for different parts of the country and in all Nordic countries (but still transmission costs, taxes and other fees differs substantially)
- The industry has lowered the costs significantly (both operation costs and investment costs)
- The number of companies are still too high, especially in distribution



